

From: [Ojeda, Jose](#)
To: [Brescia, Nicolas](#)
Subject: Fwd: Hope iron & Metal - Updated Sampling Map
Date: Monday, August 08, 2016 4:01:59 PM
Attachments: [Analytical Price Quote Summary Hope Iron and Metal Revised Quotes with SVOCs 8-8-2016.xls](#)
Importance: High

Nic,

See updated analysis cost with the addition of SVOC with a 3 day turnaround. Price went up significantly.

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: "Wright, Jeff"
Date: 8/8/16 4:56 PM (GMT-04:00)
To: "Criner, Jeffrey J."
Cc: "Caldwell, Phyllis J." , "Ojeda, Jose"
Subject: RE: Hope iron & Metal - Updated Sampling Map

We do have the revised quotes from Empirical Labs and SGS/Accutest. You can see that there's a pretty significant price increase for SVOCs and rush 3-Day TAT. See attached spreadsheet which has both 10-Day and 3-Day pricing included. We haven't received revised pricing from Test America or ALS but they were on the high end for the initial price quote so I doubt they'll end up being lower than these two labs.



Jeff Wright, CHMM

Weston Solutions, Inc.

an employee-owned company

13702 Coursey Blvd.,

Bldg #7, STE A

Baton Rouge, LA 70817

(225) 297-5415 Direct

(225) 278-8406 Cell

From: Criner, Jeffrey J.
Sent: Monday, August 08, 2016 3:22 PM
To: Wright, Jeff
Cc: Caldwell, Phyllis J. ; Ojeda, Jose
Subject: RE: Hope iron & Metal - Updated Sampling Map

Jeff,

What lab is getting the Hope samples? Do you have a cost for the 90 plus samples? I don't recall a response from Jose regarding your question.

Trying to see if we have enough money in the budget.

Thanks!

Jeff.Wright@westonsolutions.com

From: Wright, Jeff
Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 3:04 PM
To: Ojeda, Jose <Jose.Ojeda@WestonSolutions.com>; Criner, Jeffrey J.

<Jeff.Criner@WestonSolutions.com>; Bond, Patrick <Patrick.Bond@WestonSolutions.com>
Cc: Caldwell, Phyllis J. <Phyllis.Caldwell@WestonSolutions.com>
Subject: RE: Hope iron & Metal - Updated Sampling Map

It doesn't appear that ADEQ grids or areas of exceedances match up with your 50ft. grids. What about grids where only a portion of the area is highlighted as an Exceedance area by ADEQ? What the sampling strategy for those? Whatever the case may be, you will need to define that sampling strategy as well as the rationale below in Section 3.2.1. You'll also need to revise the total number of soil samples collected in each area of the QASP and DQOs that it is mentioned.



Jeff Wright, CHMM

Weston Solutions, Inc.

an employee-owned company

13702 Coursey Blvd.,

Bldg #7, STE A

Baton Rouge, LA 70817

(225) 297-5415 Direct

(225) 278-8406 Cell

From: Ojeda, Jose

Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 2:51 PM

To: Wright, Jeff <Jeff.Wright@WestonSolutions.com>; Criner, Jeffrey J. <Jeff.Criner@WestonSolutions.com>; Bond, Patrick <Patrick.Bond@WestonSolutions.com>

Subject: FW: Hope iron & Metal - Updated Sampling Map

In addition to TAL Metals and PCBs, the OSC is wanting to add the following to the sampling plan:

- Other TAL Metals
- SVOCs

His plan is to fill data gaps from ADEQ's report. So the plan is to collect at the following depths:

- Grids where ADEQ did not sample:

Jeff.Wright@westonsolutions.com

o 0"-6"

o 6"-18"

o 18" - 24"

Grids where ADEQ only has surface data:

o 6"-18"

o 18"-24"

Grids where ADEQ has subsurface data:

o 18"-24"

Grids where ADEQ has elevated PCB subsurface data:

o 24"-48"

Will probably be best to have the exceedance map broken up into three separate maps.

'CB map

.ead map

Arsenic map

José L. Ojeda

Senior Project Leader – START

Weston Solutions, Inc.

From: Brescia, Nicolas [<mailto:brescia.nicolas@epa.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 1:23 PM

To: Ojeda, Jose <Jose.Ojeda@WestonSolutions.com>

Subject: RE: Hope iron & Metal - Updated Sampling Map

Can you make the map similar to the last one where it shows color coded exceedances, eliminating the Historical reference. Use the same legend and same type of map Figure 2-2 with same descriptors in legend.

I do not want to resample in grids that have been sampled at specific depths.

I want to collect surface and subsurface samples at 0-6, 6-18, 18-24 in areas where samples have not been collected

In the areas where we have elevated Pb or PCB's down to 18 inches from our current ESI and SA data, lets pull 24-48 inch subsurface samples and analyze both. (I think that is roughly 12 grids?)

On the lab turnaround, what are the differences in cost? I understand the validation.

Also, it might be worthwhile to bring an XRF to screen as we see fit.

From: Ojeda, Jose [<mailto:Jose.Ojeda@WestonSolutions.com>]

Sent: Monday, August 01, 2016 9:28 AM

To: Brescia, Nicolas <brescia.nicolas@epa.gov>

Subject: Hope iron & Metal - Updated Sampling Map

Historical exceedance map has been updated with the additional sampling points from the ADEQ report (see attached). Let me know if there's anything you'd like changed/added. I submitted the QASP for review last week after our meeting. I'll forward that to you once the review process is completed.

Weston Logo



José L. Ojeda

Senior Project Leader – START

Weston Solutions, Inc.

3900 Dallas Pkwy, Suite 175

Plano, TX 75093-7805

Direct: (469) 666-5506 • Cell: (619) 417-3298 • Office: (469) 666-5500 • Fax: (469) 666-5540

Jose.Ojeda@westonsolutions.com

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.

CONFIDENTIALITY: This email and attachments may contain information which is confidential and proprietary. Disclosure or use of any such confidential or proprietary information without the written permission of Weston Solutions, Inc. is strictly prohibited. If you received this email in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this email from your system. Thank you.